

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/870,305	05/30/2001	Shawn E. O'Hara	81382/JDL	7639	
7590 01/22/2004		- EXAMINER			
Lawrence P. Kessler			NGHIEM, MICHAEL P		
Patent Departm NexPress Soluti		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1447 St. Paul Street			2863		
Rochester, NY 14653-7103			DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	n No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/870,30	)5	O'HARA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examin r		Art Unit	/
		Michael P		2863	AW
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati or Reply	on appears on the	o cover sheet with the c	correspond nce addr	9SS
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no evention.  s, a reply within the state period will apply and with state to the apply and with a state to	ent, however, may a reply be tin utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>02 October 200</u>	<u>3</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is no	on-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u				nerits is
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-12 and 22-30</u> is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-11</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>22,23,26 and 28</u> is/are rejected Claim(s) <u>12,24,25,27,29 and 30</u> is/are of Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from co	nsideration.	,	
	on Papers				
10)⊠ 11)□	The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on <u>02 October 2003</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	is/are: a) according a displaying according a displaying according a displaying according a displaying a disp	oe held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	. 1.121(d).
12) \( \tag{ a}\) \( \tag{ 5}\) \( \tag{ 5}\) \( \tag{ 5}\) \( \tag{ 5}\) \( \tag{ 6}\) \( \tag{ 7}\) \( \tag{ 6}\) \( \tag{ 7}\) \( \tag{ 7}\	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for Acknowledgment is made of a claim for defince a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for deference was included in the first sentence of the foreign langual acknowledgment is made of a claim for deference was included in the first sentence.	uments have bee uments have bee ne priority docume Bureau (PCT Rul r a list of the certi omestic priority un the first sentence age provisional ap	en received. en received in Application received in Application for the specification of the specification of the specification and the specification of the specification for the specification of the specification for the sp	ion No ed in this National Soled. e) (to a provisional ar in an Application Doceived. end/or 121 since a	pplication) ata Sheet. specific
2) Notic	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-8 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Amendment filed on October 2, 2003 has been acknowledged.

## Specification

- 1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required:
- claims 12 and 30, "... and the second circuit selects delays that are offset by a period substantially equal to a selected delay between an odd row and an even".

#### Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the first and second circuits (claims 1, 5) must be shown or the feature(s) cancelled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/870,305 Page 3

Art Unit: 2863

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 12 and 26 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- claim 12, after "even" (line 4) should insert - row --.

- claim 26, "a fine adjustment circuit" (line 2) should be -- the fine adjustment circuit --; "a

plurality of delays" (line 3) should be -- the plurality of delays -- .

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22, 23, 26, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pham et al. (US 5,585,836).

Regarding claim 22, Pham et al. discloses a method for producing an electronic printhead (250), having pixel alignment circuitry (Fig. 4), by the steps of:

Art Unit: 2863

- providing a substrate (200) having a plurality of printing elements (elements on 250, Fig. 4) representing an image exposure line (image on 2), with associated driver circuitry (310), coupled to an interface board (400, 700, Figs. 5, 7, 9), and timing means (clock 212) for selectively activating said printing elements for exposing an image exposure line, one at a time, for a preselected exposure period (data is synchronized by clock, Fig. 6), each of said printing elements representing a pixel within an image exposure line (column 7, lines 51-53);

- creating, a coarse adjustment circuit (206) on said interface board, said coarse adjustment circuit having circuitry that aligns image exposure line pixel data in integral numbers of lines (odd and even number lines, Fig. 6);
- forming a fine adjustment circuit (204) located at least partially on said substrate (Fig. 4), said fine adjustment circuit providing a plurality of delays (two bit dot shifts on 210, 211) to each of said printing elements, wherein each of said delays is a fraction of an exposure period of said timing means corresponding to a fraction of a pixel pitch (column 10, lines 55-57); and
- selecting one of the delays in accordance with a predetermined parameter (via 206, 207).

Regarding claim 23, Pham et al. discloses forming said fine adjustment circuit with a software accessible register (314, 316) for delay selection of each printing element.

Application/Control Number: 09/870,305 Page 5

Art Unit: 2863

Regarding claim 26, Pham et al. discloses forming the fine adjustment circuit wherein the plurality of delays are modifiable to allow for different levels of pixel fine adjustment (column 10, lines 39-52).

Regarding claim 28, Pham et al. discloses selecting said delays such that said delays are repeated with a first delay following a last delay forming a repeated delay circuit from multiples of said delays (multiple bit shifts on 210, 211).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 24, 25, 27, 29, and 30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claim 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
  - 7. Claims 1 and 3-11 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/870,305 Page 6

Art Unit: 2863

### Reasons For Allowance

8. The combination or method as claimed wherein a first circuit common to a plurality of LED elements and a second circuit selecting one of a set of a delays that improves linearity of the pixels within the line by a fraction of a pixel pitch (claim 1) or forming the fine adjustment circuit wherein the software accessible register can be loaded via a JTAG serial data path (claim 24) or the fine bow correction circuit forms the fine bow correction circuit such that it is located at least partially on the interface board and provides at least one circuit trace that carries a plurality of signals to the fine bow correction circuit on the substrate, wherein the signals are not concurrently active (claim 27) or providing the elements arranged in a plurality of rows and wherein the fine adjustment circuit selects different delays for different rows (claim 29) is not disclosed, suggested, or made obvious by the prior art of record.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed on October 2, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the objections to the specification and drawings, Applicants argue that all obvious informalities have been corrected.

Examiner's position is that the specification is not found to support the limitation of "... and the second circuit selects delays that are offset by a period substantially equal to

1

Page 7

a selected delay between an odd row and an even" (claims 12, 30). In particular, the specification (page 35, lines 10-22) discusses that odd and even pixels are offset above or below one another but not by "a period substantially equal to a selected delay between an odd row and an even".

Further, even though Fig. 9a shows a combination of two circuits (claims 1, 5), it is unclear which section belongs to a first circuit and which section to a second circuit.

With respect to the 35 USC 102 rejections, Applicants argue that claim 22 was amended to include the subject matter of claim 1.

Examiner's position is that claim 22 does not include the first circuit and improving the linearity of the pixels as recited in claim 1. Thus, claim 22 is not allowable as discussed above.

### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/870,305

Art Unit: 2863

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 8

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

**Contact Information** 

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Nghiem whose telephone number is (703)

306-3445. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H from 6:30AM – 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached at (703) 308-3126. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-5841 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

MICHAEL NGHIEM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Nghiem

January 21, 2004